Update to the identification guide to female Alaskan bumble bees and a summary of recent changes to the Alaskan bumble bee fauna
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Summary

We summarize numerous recent changes to the taxonomy of the bumble bee fauna of Alaska since [Pampell (2010, 2013), Koch and Strange (2012) and Pampell et al. (2012, 2015)]. Nine species are now referred to using different names and two new species were described.

1. [Williams et al. (2014)] resulted in the names Bombus bohemicus, Bombus flavidus, and Bombus cryptarum replacing the previous names of Bombus ashtoni, Bombus fernaldae, and Bombus moderatus, respectively. The former three names replace the latter three for all records in Alaska.

2. [Williams et al. (2015)] elevated Bombus natvigi and Bombus kirbiellus from invalid as synonyms under Bombus hyperboreus and Bombus balteatus, respectively, to valid species status. All former records of B. hyperboreus in Alaska are now B. natvigi. All former records of B. balteatus in Alaska are now B. kirbiellus.

3. A new species, Bombus kluanensis, was described by [Williams et al. (2016)] from Yukon, Canada and Denali National Park and Preserve, Alaska.

4. Since 2017 we consider Bombus centralis to be a doubtful member of the Alaskan fauna with all prior records of this species most likely being Bombus flavifrons.

5. [Martinet et al. (2019)] concluded Bombus sylvicola is conspecific with Bombus lapponicus and established it as a subspecies, thus all Alaskan Bombus sylvicola are now Bombus lapponicus sylvicola.

6. A new apparently rare species was described from the Alaskan Arctic: Bombus interacti by [Martinet et al. (2019)].

7. Since December 2019 we consider Bombus suckleyi to be a doubtful member of the Alaskan fauna with all prior records of this species most likely being Bombus bohemicus.

8. [Ghisbain et al. (2020)] split Bombus bifarius into two species and B. bifarius does not occur in Alaska. All Alaskan B. bifarius records should be considered Bombus vancoverensis.

9. The Alaskan bumble bee fauna now has 22 confirmed species and 1 doubtful species for a possible total of 23 species.
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Introduction

There has been a considerable increase in interest in pollinators, and specifically bumble bees, across North America. In part, this interest stems from concerns about observed bumble bee declines, especially in relation to effects from climate change, introduced pathogens, and habitat fragmentation (Cameron et al. 2011; Kerr et al. 2015; Soroye et al. 2020). In Alaska, there has also been interest in basic questions about species diversity, biology, and distribution (Koch and Strange 2012; Koch et al. 2012; Hatten et al. 2015; Pampell et al. 2015; Rykken 2015 2017). Since these published works there have been some taxonomic name changes, misidentifications discovered, new species described, and synonymies published which we summarize and comment on here to provide a handy reference for ongoing and future work on the Alaskan bee fauna. Numerous records in GBIF.org of Alaskan Bombus exist under old taxonomic concepts or are misidentifications so such public data should be corrected or subsampled before use.

We have updated the color guide of Pampell (2013) with these corrections and have made changes to the colors of some species figured in the guide to better match Alaskan populations. Note that identification of many species cannot be confirmed by color alone; there are other microscopic characters that may need examination (like malar length), so we strongly recommend this guide be used in conjunction with the Williams et al. (2014) field guide. We also recommend the free PDF guide to bumble bees of the western states by Koch et al. (2012). Color can vary within species (especially on tergites) and our updated guide shows primarily the most common conditions. Color is best observed on clean and dry specimens; it is very difficult to identify bumble bees with matted, dirty hairs. We have marked rarely collected species, those with fewer than 40 Alaskan specimens known to us, with an asterisk in the guide. A review of Alaskan Bombus species with state conservation status assessments was recently completed by the Alaska Center for Conservation Science and can be found online (http://accs.uaa.alaska.edu/wildlife/pollinator-diversity/).

This guide is for female bumble bees. The guide can help for male identification, but males can show a higher degree of variability than females. Females have six visible abdominal (metasomal) segments called tergites, stingers, antennae with 10 flagellomeres, and their mandibles are wide apically and scoop-like. Males have seven visible tergites with the tip of their abdomen blunt and lacking a stinger, have antennae with 11 flagellomeres, and male mandibles are narrow and notably bearded.

Results

1) Replacement of the names Bombus ashtoni, Bombus fernaldae, and Bombus moderatus by Bombus bohemicus, Bombus flavidus, and Bombus cryptarum

Williams et al. (2014) resulted in the replacement of the names for three Alaskan species, Bombus ashtoni, Bombus fernaldae, and Bombus moderatus by Bombus bohemicus, Bombus flavidus, and Bombus cryptarum, respectively. The latter three names replace the former three for all records in Alaska. Details on the justifications for these changes can be found in Williams et al. (2014) and the online catalog of Williams (2020), which cites Cameron et al. (2007) as justification for the first two. We have updated the names in the color guide accordingly (Figure 1).

2) Replacement of the names Bombus boreoreus and Bombus balteatus by Bombus natvigi and Bombus kirbiellus

Williams et al. (2015) elevated Bombus natvigi and Bombus kirbiellus from invalid as synonyms under Bombus boreoreus and Bombus balteatus, respectively, to valid species status. This conclusion was justified based on genetic data that split the former Holarctic species into separate Palearctic and Nearctic species. All former records of B. boreoreus in Alaska are now B. natvigi. All former records of B. balteatus in Alaska are now B. kirbiellus. We have updated the names in the color guide accordingly (Figure 1).

3) Discovery of new species in Denali National Park, Alaska—Bombus kluanensis

Bombus kluanensis, recently described by Williams et al. (2016), is currently known in Alaska only from Denali National Park and Preserve. In the park, it has been collected in several alpine tundra sites. Many more specimens have also been collected in the Kluane region and St. Elias Range in Yukon, Canada. It is very likely that B. kluanensis occurs in Wrangell-St. Elias National Park and Preserve, which encompasses the eastern end of the Alaska Range and the western end of the St. Elias Range. However, a brief survey of alpine tundra sites off the Nabesna Road in Wrangell-St. Elias in 2018 yielded no B. kluanensis specimens. Further surveys are needed for this species to fully document its range in Alaska.
Figure 1: Color guide to common interior bumblebees of Alaska based on that of Pampell (2010) with names and some colors updated. Rarely collected species, those with fewer than 40 Alaskan specimens known to us, are marked with an asterisk.

Figure 4: Diagram on how to use the Color Guide.
4) *Bombus centralis* and *Bombus flavifrons* misidentification

In 2017 we discovered and corrected a large misidentification problem that had occurred between the species *Bombus centralis* and *Bombus flavifrons*. This involved 3,313 specimens in the University of Alaska Museum that had been identified as *B. centralis* and cited as such in Pampell (2010) and Pampell et al. (2015), but subsequent examination by the first author determined them to be *B. flavifrons*. The cause of this problem stemmed from the Alaska color guide (Pampell, 2013). In that guide there was only one color form (the non-orange) for *B. flavifrons*. However, *B. flavifrons* has an orange color form that is almost identical to *B. centralis* (Williams et al., 2014). In Alaska, we have found both color forms occurring in the same area. We have updated the guide with this other form added and removed *B. centralis* (Figure 1). This case demonstrates the importance of not relying only on single color patterns for bumble bee identification and stresses the importance of archiving specimens that allow verification of identifications.

The anterior band of yellow hairs on the thorax differs in color between these species. *Bombus flavifrons* has black hairs mixed among the yellow hairs (Figure 2) while *B. centralis* has only yellow hairs (although we have no authoritatively identified specimens of *B. centralis* in the University of Alaska Museum Insect Collection (UAM)). Males are harder to distinguish because they have far fewer black hairs in the anterior region and some appear to lack black hairs entirely in that region.

Sikes et al. (2017) DNA barcoded two UAM *B. centralis* specimens which fell into a BIN (Barcode Index Numbers (Ratnasingham and Hebert, 2013)) with over 110 *B. flavifrons* specimens (BOLD:ACE3465). After having confirmed the specimens had the diagnostic black hairs of *B. flavifrons* we edited the records of these two specimens in BOLD (Barcode of Life Data System (Ratnasingham and Hebert, 2007)) to correct their identifications to *B. flavifrons*. These two species are each other’s nearest neighbors in BOLD with a genetic distance of only 1.12%.

Williams et al. (2014) includes a small number of records of *B. centralis* in Alaska. Presumably these are records that were confirmed by the authors so this species may belong on the Alaskan list—but if so, it is apparently quite rare. We consider its presence in Alaska doubtful and have not included it on our list (Table 1).

5) *Bombus sylvicola* in Alaska is now *Bombus lapponicus sylvicola*

Martinet et al. (2019) concluded *Bombus sylvicola* is conspecific with *Bombus lapponicus* and established it as a subspecies. Thus, all *Bombus sylvicola* are now *Bombus lapponicus sylvicola*. The integrative taxonomic research described below for *B. interacti* led to this seemingly well-founded conclusion.

6) New, apparently rare, Arctic species—*Bombus interacti* Martinet, Brasero & Rasmont, 2019

A new, currently rare, and potentially difficult to identify *Bombus* species was described from the Toolik Field Station in the Alaskan Arctic: *Bombus interacti* by Martinet et al. (2019). Martinet et al. (2019) wrote regarding morphological diagnosis of this new species:

*Bombus interacti* males differed from *B. sylvicola* in the pubescence of the tibia, which is hairier in *B. sylvicola*. No difference in the structures of the genitalia was detected. Females of *B. interacti* differed from *B. sylvicola* in the face clypeus coloration: black with intermixed dark yellow hair in *B. interacti* and yellow in *B. sylvicola*. Besides, the density of pubescence of tergite 5 is higher in *B. interacti* and the yellow coloration of the collar does not reach the bases of the legs.
Table 1: Twenty-three species of *Bombus* recorded from Alaska based on 33,794 catalog records with the number of records indicated, with links to those records provided through the numbers of records. Records are a mix of specimen and literature records.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Record Count</th>
<th>Species</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5,310</td>
<td><em>Bombus flavifrons</em> Cresson, 1863</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4,252</td>
<td><em>Bombus jonellus</em> (Kirby, 1802)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4,194</td>
<td><em>Bombus lapponicus sylvicola</em> Kirby, 1837</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3,960</td>
<td><em>Bombus frigidus</em> Smith, 1854</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3,692</td>
<td><em>Bombus vancouverensis</em> Cresson, 1878</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3,442</td>
<td><em>Bombus mixtus</em> Cresson, 1878</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,744</td>
<td><em>Bombus occidentalis</em> Greene, 1858</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,572</td>
<td><em>Bombus melanopygus</em> Nylander, 1848</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,553</td>
<td><em>Bombus perplexus</em> Cresson, 1863</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>997</td>
<td><em>Bombus cryptarum</em> (Fabricius, 1775)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>470</td>
<td><em>Bombus insularis</em> Smith, 1861</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>439</td>
<td><em>Bombus kirbiellus</em> Curtis, 1835</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>389</td>
<td><em>Bombus flavidus</em> Eversmann, 1852</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>322</td>
<td><em>Bombus polaris</em> Curtis, 1835</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>296</td>
<td><em>Bombus bohemicus</em> Seidl, 1838</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>83</td>
<td><em>Bombus sitkensis</em> Nylander, 1848</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td><em>Bombus neoboreus</em> Sladen, 1919</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td><em>Bombus nativigi</em> Richards, 1931</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td><em>Bombus rufocinctus</em> Cresson, 1863</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td><em>Bombus distinguendus</em> Morawitz, 1869</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td><em>Bombus klaunensis</em> Williams &amp; Cannings, 2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td><em>Bombus neodensis</em> Cresson, 1874</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td><em>Bombus interacti</em> Martinet, Brasero, &amp; Rasmont 2019</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Martinet et al. 2019) used two genetic markers, one nuclear and one mitochondrial, cephalic labial gland secretions, morphometrics, and qualitative characters, all of which supported their new species and synonymy decision.

Despite what appears to be a thorough investigation, (Martinet et al. 2019) has a few important shortcomings. The authors ignored relevant publicly available genetic data, chose to sequence genetic markers that are not widely used (their COI sequences only partially overlap the widely used DNA barcode region), thus making their work hard to compare to more standardized efforts, and they overlooked museum specimens relevant to their study. Despite having written, “all easily available material has been evaluated, including specimens from the Aleutian Islands,” (Martinet et al. 2019) they did not contact the University of Alaska Museum Insect Collection, which holds over 4,500 *Bombus lapponicus sylvicola* Alaskan specimens (among over 30,000 other Alaskan *Bombus* specimens—all digitized and easily found, open access records shared with [GBIF.org](http://www.gbif.org)) and *B. lapponicus sylvicola* is one of the presumed closest relatives to their new species. This new species, *B. interacti*, is known from only a single site in Alaska making its distribution smaller than any other *Bombus* in Alaska and possibly North America. If this is indeed a good species, it likely occurs over a much wider region, but the authors did not determine if this was the case.

To estimate the distribution of *B. interacti* in Alaska, all Alaskan *B. lapponicus sylvicola* specimens need to be reexamined and targeted surveys conducted. Also, DNA barcode sequences need to be obtained and added to BOLD for confirmed *B. interacti*. Because this species is currently known only from Alaska (although it may also occur in nearby Canada), and from only one site in Alaska, it should be a high priority for conservation efforts such as those focused on the more widespread and declining species *Bombus occidentalis*.

Using the genbank COI sequence (MG280603.1) from the holotype male of *B. interacti* for an identification match on BOLD, using BOLD’s full-length sequence database that provides maximum overlap with the DNA barcode region, returns no confident species-level match and the nearest species is >2% divergent: *Bombus monticola* (at 97.59% similar)—a European species. Other close matches
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at greater divergences include another European species: *Bombus glacialis* and a Nearctic species: *Bombus bimaculatus*, which occurs primarily in the lower 48 US states in the eastern half of the US. No Alaskan species in BOLD is within the top most similar species to *B. interacti*.

The four DNA barcoded UAM Alaskan *B. lapponicus sylvicola* in BOLD are in two BINs. One BIN (BOLD:AAA8078) has many specimens which are a mix of species, primarily *B. sylvicola* and *B. lapponicus* (which supports the synonymization of these two names by [Martinet et al. (2019)—see below]). The other BIN (BOLD:ACN5269) has only 1 specimen (Alaskan *B. l. sylvicola*, Arctos: UAM:Ento:193437; BOLD: UAMIC758-13 GenBank: KU874450). We compared the COI sequence of this record to that of the holotype of *B. interacti* and they are 9.61% divergent. Thus, this unusual *B. l. sylvicola* is not *B. interacti*. This confirms there are no *B. interacti* sequences currently in BOLD. This rare Alaskan species has thus so far evaded detection by the various other efforts to document the bumble bee fauna of Alaska. We look forward to seeing if any specimens are among the over 4,500 *Bombus lapponicus sylvicola* specimens in UAM.

7) *Bombus suckleyi* appears to not be part of the Alaskan fauna

In December 2019 the second author studied the 13 *B. suckleyi* specimens in UAM and changed their identifications to *Bombus bohemicus*—a cuckoo bumble bee that is a designated endangered species in Canada ([Colla 2017](https://www.ontario.ca/page/recovery-strategy-gypsy-cuckoo-bumble-bee)). We subsequently edited all the Alaskan *B. suckleyi* literature records in the UAM Alaskan arthropod checklist to *Bombus* sp. with a note about the identification of *B. suckleyi* being doubtful. ([Pampell et al. 2015](https://www.pnas.org/content/108/2/662)) also reported doubt about the presence of this species in Alaska. We plan to DNA barcode many of the UAM specimens to see what their DNA barcodes can tell us. We removed *B. suckleyi* from the color guide (Figure [1](https://www.pnas.org/content/108/2/662)) and our species list (Table [1](https://www.pnas.org/content/108/2/662)).

8) All *Bombus bifarius* records in Alaska are now *B. vancouverensis*

[Ghisbain et al. (2020)](https://www.pnas.org/content/108/2/662) split *B. bifarius* into two species based in part on them being ~6.9% divergent in their COI and concluded *B. bifarius* does not occur in Alaska. All Alaskan *B. bifarius* records are now *B. vancouverensis* and we have updated the color guide accordingly (Figure [1](https://www.pnas.org/content/108/2/662)).

9) Alaska has 22 confirmed and 1 doubtful Bombus species

Table [1](https://www.pnas.org/content/108/2/662) lists the 23 species of *Bombus* recorded from Alaska based on 33,794 UAM catalog records, with the number of records, and links to those records provided. *Bombus nevadensis* is listed from Alaska in [Krombein et al. (1979)](https://www.pnas.org/content/108/2/662) but we consider it a doubtful member of the Alaskan fauna; leaving only 22 confirmed species. It is possible that *Bombus nevadensis* rarely occurs in Alaska because it is well documented from western regions of North America and Canada.
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