

# Notes on a collection of spiders from agricultural by-catch from the Matanuska-Susitna area of Alaska

by Jozef Slowik<sup>1</sup>

Spiders are an important natural predator in many ecosystems, though their contribution to pest control in agroecosystems does seem unclear (Nyffeler and Birkhofer, 2017). This is largely due to the limited habitat and spider diversity found in agricultural plots (Nyffeler and Sunderland, 2003). However, spiders are often specialists and recognizing which species may assist with a pest problem, and enhancing the field to encourage that species may be beneficial (Rypstra et al., 1999). There have been no studies on arachnids from agriculture in Alaska. This study looked at by-catch from several other pest related studies around Palmer, Alaska and identified the species associated with those agriculture systems.

## Methods

Spider specimens were removed from by-catch vials collected as part of several USDA projects examining pests of crops grown in the Matanuska-Susitna valley. Fields sampled were at the Matanuska Experiment Farm and Extension Center (N 61.5686° W 149.2495°), The Point Mackenzie Correctional Farm (N 61.4186° W 150.0924°). Additionally, fields at Pyrah's (N 61.5319° W 149.0823°), Cambell's (N 61.5153° W 149.0800°), and VanderWheel's (N 61.5634° W 149.1495°) commercial farms were sampled.

Specimens were collected using either Aphid pan traps (APT) (Pantoja et al., 2010b) or click beetle traps (CBT) (Pan-

toja et al., 2010a). Specimens were collected off rhubarb (*Rheum* spp.), potato (*Solanum tuberosum* (L.)), or lettuce (*Lactuca sativa* L.). Collections occurred over the years 2006–2008.

A total of 1812 by-catch vials were examined. Spiders were identified to species if mature, and family if juvenile using Ubick et al. (2005). Family guild organization follows Uetz et al. (1999). Not all by-catch samples included crop information (24%). All specimens have been deposited at the University of Alaska Museum of the North.

## Results

Of the 1812 by-catch vials examined 165 (9.1%) contained spiders. A total of 262 spiders were found, of those 176 were adult and could be identified to species. Forty-two species were identified representing 10 families (Table 1). One additional family, Theridiidae, was only represented by juveniles. The highest number of specimens and species was exhibited by the family Linyphiidae (Table 2). Rhubarb samples dominated the crop type sampled ( $n = 133$ ) compared to potato ( $n = 10$ ) and lettuce ( $n = 7$ ). The Wandering Sheet guild dominated species and samples because of the inclusion of Linyphiidae. Second was the Ambusher (Thomisidae and Philodromidae) and Orbweaver (Araneidae and Tetragnathidae) guilds (Table 3).

Specimen data are available at [https://arctos.database.museum/viewAccn.cfm?transaction\\_id=21127085](https://arctos.database.museum/viewAccn.cfm?transaction_id=21127085).

Table 1: Species list, trap type species collected with, and crop species collected off of, for a collection of spiders from agricultural fields in the Matanuska-Susitna Valley, Alaska.

Family	Species	Trap type	Crop
Araneidae	<i>Araniella proxima</i> (Kulczyński, 1885)	APT	rhubarb
	<i>Larinioides cornutus</i> (Clerck, 1757)	APT	rhubarb
	<i>Larinioides patagiatus</i> (Clerck, 1757)	APT, CBT	rhubarb
Clubionidae	<i>Clubiona furcata</i> Emerton, 1919	CBT	rhubarb
	<i>Clubiona riparia</i> L. Koch, 1866	APT, CBT	rhubarb
Dictynidae	<i>Dictyna brevitarsa</i> Emerton, 1915	APT	rhubarb
	<i>Dictyna major</i> Menge, 1869	APT, CBT	rhubarb
	<i>Emblyna annulipes</i> (Blackwall, 1846)	APT, CBT	lettuce, rhubarb
	<i>Emblyna manitoba</i> (Ivie, 1947)	APT	rhubarb
Gnaphosidae	<i>Zelotes fratris</i> Chamberlin, 1920	CBT	
Linyphiidae	<i>Agyneta lophophor</i> (Chamberlin & Ivie, 1933)	CBT	

Continued on next page...

<sup>1</sup>University of Alaska Cooperative Extension Service

Family	Species	Trap type	Crop
Linyphiidae	<i>Allomengea dentisetis</i> (Grube, 1861)	CBT	rhubarb
	<i>Bathypantes brevipes</i> (Emerton, 1917)	APT	rhubarb
	<i>Bathypantes latescens</i> (Chamberlin, 1919)	APT, CBT	rhubarb
	<i>Bathypantes pallidus</i> (Banks, 1892)	CBT	rhubarb
	<i>Centromerus sylvaticus</i> (Blackwall, 1841)	APT, CBT	rhubarb
	<i>Diplocephalus subrostratus</i> (O. Pickard-Cambridge, 1873)		potato
	<i>Erigone arctica</i> (White, 1852)	CBT	lettuce
	<i>Erigone atra</i> Blackwall, 1833	APT, CBT	lettuce, rhubarb
	<i>Erigone blaesa</i> Crosby & Bishop, 1928	CBT	lettuce, potato
	<i>Erigone dentigera</i> O. Pickard-Cambridge, 1874	APT	rhubarb
	<i>Erigone tanana</i> Chamberlin & Ivie, 1947	APT	
	<i>Gnathonarium taczanowskii</i> (O. Pickard-Cambridge, 1873)	APT, CBT	lettuce, rhubarb
	<i>Mecynargus paetulus</i> (O. Pickard-Cambridge, 1875)	APT, CBT	rhubarb
	<i>Microlinyphia pusilla</i> (Sundevall, 1830)	APT, CBT	rhubarb
	<i>Microneta viaria</i> (Blackwall, 1841)	CBT	lettuce, rhubarb
	Misc #3	APT, CBT	rhubarb
	<i>Phlattothrata parva</i> (Kulczyński, 1926)	APT, CBT	rhubarb
	<i>Praestigia kulczynskii</i> Eskov, 1979	CBT	rhubarb
	<i>Walckenaeria atrotibialis</i> (O. Pickard-Cambridge, 1878)	CBT	rhubarb
	Lycosidae	<i>Pardosa palustris</i> (Linnaeus, 1758)	CBT
Philodromidae	<i>Rhysodromus alascensis</i> (Keyserling, 1884)	CBT	rhubarb
	<i>Philodromus cespitum</i> (Walckenaer, 1802)	APT, CBT	rhubarb
	<i>Philodromus placidus</i> Banks, 1892	APT	potato
Salticidae	<i>Thanatus striatus</i> C. L. Koch, 1845	CBT	rhubarb
	<i>Attulus striatus</i> (Emerton, 1911)	APT	rhubarb
	<i>Pelegrina montana</i> (Emerton, 1891)	APT	rhubarb
Tetragnathidae	<i>Tetragnatha dearmata</i> Thorell, 1873	APT	rhubarb
	<i>Tetragnatha laboriosa</i> Hentz, 1850	APT	rhubarb
Thomisidae	<i>Misumena vatia</i> (Clerck, 1757)	APT	rhubarb
	<i>Ozyptila gertschi</i> Kurata, 1944	CBT	rhubarb
	<i>Ozyptila sincera canadensis</i> Dondale & Redner, 1975	CBT	rhubarb

Table 2: Spider families, number of specimens, and number of representative species for a collection of spiders from agricultural fields in the Matanuska-Susitna Valley, Alaska.

Family	Number of specimens	Percent of specimens	Number of species	Percent of species
Araneidae	16	6.1	3	7.1
Clubionidae	9	3.4	2	4.8
Dictynidae	11	4.2	4	9.5
Gnaphosidae	1	0.4	1	2.4
Linyphiidae	169	64.5	20	47.6
Lycosidae	5	1.9	1	2.4
Philodromidae	14	5.3	4	9.5
Salticidae	4	1.5	2	4.8
Tetragnathidae	13	5.0	2	4.8
Theridiidae	5	1.9	0	0
Thomisidae	15	5.7	3	7.1

Table 3: Guild, number of specimens, and number of representative species for a collection of spiders from agricultural fields in the Matanuska-Susitna Valley, Alaska.

<b>Guild</b>	<b>Number of specimens</b>	<b>Number of species</b>
Guild	Number of specimens	Number of species
Foliage Runners	9	2
Ground Runner	6	2
Stalker	4	2
Ambusher	29	7
Wandering Sheet	169	20
Orb Weaver	29	5
Space Web Builder	16	4

## Discussion

These data represent the first sampling of spider species occurring in association with agriculture in Alaska. It is also the first data on species occurrences in Southcentral Alaska since Chamberlin and Ivie's work in 1947. Although these data are from agricultural settings, the data are representative of other diversity surveys in Alaska (Slowik, 2006; Slowik and Blagojev, 2012; Sikes et al., 2013). These data do differ from agricultural data from the contiguous United States in which Linyphiidae do make up the most diverse group found, but they are not the most commonly collected family (Young and Edwards, 1990), but they agree with agricultural data from Europe (Nyffeler and Birkhofer, 2017).

Generally wandering guilds including the families Salticidae and Lycosidae will make up a larger proportion of specimens and species (Young and Edwards, 1990; Kerzicnik et al., 2013). However, this is likely an artifact of the collection methods as both traps are above ground level requiring the spider to climb up the vegetation to become victim of the trap. Given that only 9.1% of samples had spiders in the samples, this implies that the trapping methods were not very efficient at trapping spiders. For an IPM application these traps were effective at collecting their intended pest and spared natural predators like spiders, though the true abundance of spiders is not known for comparison. Unfortunately, crop comparison could not be conducted due to the low number of samples from potato and lettuce, but these data are an initial baseline to build on.

## Acknowledgements

Thanks to Jodie Anderson at MEFEC for providing samples and holding on to them. Additional thanks to Alberto

Pantoja of the USDA and J. Malipanip and S. Lillard for collection and sorting of specimens.

## References

- Chamberlin, R. V., and W. Ivie. 1947. The spiders of Alaska. *Bulletin of the University of Utah* 37:1–103.
- Kerzicnik, L. M., F. B. Peairs, P. E. Cushing, M. L. Draney, and S. C. Merrill. 2013. Spider fauna of semiarid eastern Colorado agroecosystems: diversity, abundance, and effects of crop intensification. *Environmental Entomology* 42:131–142. doi:10.1603/EN12186.
- Nyffeler, M., and K. Birkhofer. 2017. An estimated 400–800 million tons of prey are annually killed by the global spider community. *The Science of Nature* 104:30. doi:10.1007/s00114-017-1440-1.
- Nyffeler, M., and K. D. Sunderland. 2003. Composition, abundance and pest control potential of spider communities in agroecosystems: a comparison of European and US studies. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment* 95:579–612. doi:10.1016/S0167-8809(02)00181-0.
- Pantoja, A., A. M. Hagerty, and S. Y. Emmert. 2010a. A seasonal survey of click beetles in a potato production area near Palmer, Alaska. *American Journal of Potato Research* 87:188–194. doi:10.1007/s12230-009-9125-8.
- Pantoja, A., A. M. Hagerty, S. Y. Emmert, J. C. Kuhl, K. Pike, J. M. Alvarez, and A. Jensen. 2010b. Aphids (Hemiptera: Aphididae) associated with rhubarb (*Rheum* spp.) in the Matanuska Valley, Alaska: species composition, seasonal abundance, and potential virus vectors. *Journal of the Entomological Society of British Columbia* 107:75–81. URL <https://journal.entsoCBC.ca/index.php/journal/article/view/15>.

- Rypstra, A., P. E. Carter, R. A. Balfour, and S. Marshall. 1999. Architectural features of agricultural habitats and their impact on the spider inhabitants. *Journal of Arachnology* 27:371–377. URL [http://www.americanarachnology.org/JoA\\_free/JoA\\_v27\\_n1/arac\\_27\\_01\\_0371.pdf](http://www.americanarachnology.org/JoA_free/JoA_v27_n1/arac_27_01_0371.pdf).
- Sikes, D. S., M. L. Draney, and B. Fleshman. 2013. Unexpectedly high among-habitat spider (Araneae) faunal diversity from the Arctic Long-Term Experimental Research (LTER) field station at Toolik Lake, Alaska, United States of America. *The Canadian Entomologist* 145:219–226. doi:10.4039/tce.2013.5.
- Slowik, J. 2006. A Survey of the spiders (Arachnida, Araneae) of Chichagof Island, Alaska, USA. *Journal of the Entomological Society of British Columbia* 103:61–70. URL <https://journal.entsocbc.ca/index.php/journal/article/view/115>.
- Slowik, J., and G. A. Blagoev. 2012. A survey of spiders (Arachnida: Araneae) of Prince of Wales Island, Alaska; combining morphological and DNA barcode identification techniques. *Insecta Mundi* 0251:1–12. URL <https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/insectamundi/768>.
- Ubick, D., N. Dupérré, P. E. Cushing, and V. D. Roth. 2005. *Spiders of North America: An Identification Manual*. American Arachnological Society, Poughkeepsie, New York.
- Uetz, G., J. Halaj, and A. Cady. 1999. Guild structure of spiders in major crops. *Journal of Arachnology* 27:270–280. URL [http://www.americanarachnology.org/JoA\\_free/JoA\\_v27\\_n1/arac\\_27\\_01\\_0270.pdf](http://www.americanarachnology.org/JoA_free/JoA_v27_n1/arac_27_01_0270.pdf).
- Young, O. O., and G. B. Edwards. 1990. Spiders in United States field crops and their potential effect on crop pests. *Journal of Arachnology* 18:1–27. URL [http://www.americanarachnology.org/JoA\\_free/JoA\\_v18\\_n1/JoA\\_v18\\_p1.pdf](http://www.americanarachnology.org/JoA_free/JoA_v18_n1/JoA_v18_p1.pdf).

## Pollination, pilfering, and predation in an orchid pollinator network in the Juneau area of Southeast Alaska

by Marlin Bowles<sup>1</sup> and Robert Armstrong<sup>2</sup>

### Summary

We studied insect pollinators and other visitors to 14 native orchids of the Juneau area of Southeast Alaska. At least 15 insect pollinators, a pollen consumer, and 4 spiders were found among ten orchid species. New North American records included pollination of *Coeloglossum viride* by march flies (Bibionidae), visitation and possible pollination of *Listera cordata* by *Dryomyza* flies, pollen transfer on *Corallorhiza trifida* by dance flies (Empididae) and pollination of *Corallorhiza mertensiana* by a *Bombus* species. New pollinators of *Platanthera dilatata* included the hawkmoth *Hyles gallii*, the butterfly *Pieris marginalis* and several new Noctuidae species. We observed for the first time the bee mimic *Eristalis anthophorina* foraging on *Spiranthes romanzoffiana*. A complex network occurred among these orchids and insects. Some orchids had multiple pollinators, while some insects pollinated multiple orchids. Several insects were nectar thieves, including one pollinator. Noctuidae moths pollinate *Platanthera dilatata*, but they appear to be nocturnal nectar thieves of two other orchid species (*Goodyera oblongifolia* and *S. romanzoffiana*) that are diurnally pollinated by *Bombus* species. Plume moths (*Amblyptilia* sp.) are nectar thieves on *G. oblongifolia* and *P. dilatata* but do not pollinate other orchids. More work is needed to understand interactions among these orchids and their pollinators and nectar thieves.

<sup>1</sup>Juneau, Alaska, [mbowles@mortonarb.org](mailto:mbowles@mortonarb.org)

<sup>2</sup>Juneau, Alaska, [bob@discoverysoutheast.org](mailto:bob@discoverysoutheast.org)